arXiv:physics/0204073v1 [physics.gen-ph] 25 Apr 2002

The Cosmology of Fluctuations

B.G.Sidharth
Centr for Applicable Mathematics and Computer Sciences
B.M.Birla Science Centre,Hyderabad, 500463,India

Abstract

We review a cosmology in which particles are fluctuationally created from a background Zero Point Field. This cosmology is consistent with recent observations of an ever expanding and accelerating universe, as also the recently confirmed evolution of the fine structure constant. All hitherto mysterious and accidental, so called Large Number coincidences, infact follow from the theory.

1 Introduction

From early 1998, the conventional wisdom of cosmology that had concretized from the mid sixties onwards, began to be challenged. It had been believed that the density of the universe is near its critical value, separating eternal expansion and ultimate contraction, while the nuances of the dark matter theories were being fine tuned. However the work of Perlmutter and others [1, 2] began appearing in 1998 and told a different story. These observations of distant supernovae indicated that contrary to widely held belief, the universe was not only not decelarating, it was actually accelerating. This paradigm shift permeated to the popular press also. For example an article in the Scientific American [3] observed, "In recent years the field of cosmology has gone through a radical upheaval. New discoveries have challenged long held theories about the evolution of the universe... Now that observers have made a strong case for cosmic acceleration, theorists must explain it.... If the recent turmoil is anything to go by, we had better keep our options open."

On the other hand, the Physics World observed [4], "A revolution is taking place in cosmology. New ideas are usurping traditional notions about the composition of the universe, the relationship between geometry and destiny, and Einstein's greatest blunder."
The infamous cosmological constant was resurrected and now it was "dark energy" that was in the air, rather than dark matter.
Shortly before these dramatic discoveries, the author had presented a cosmological model based on fluctuations in an all permeating Zero Point Field [5, 6, 7, 8]. This model is consistent with astrophysical observations and predicts an ever expanding and accelerating universe. It deduces from theory the so called large number coincidences including the purely empirical Weinberg formula that connects the pion mass to the Hubble Constant [9, 10]. Let us now examine this cosmology and some of its implications.

2 Fluctuations and Cosmology

We first observe that the concept of a Zero Point Field (ZPF) or Quantum Vacuum (or Ether) is an idea whose origin can be traced back to Max Planck himself. Quantum Field Theory attributes the ZPF to the virtual Quantum Effects of an already present electromagnetic field [11]. There is another approach, sometimes called Stochastic Electrodynamics which treats the ZPF as primary and attributes to it Quantum Mechanical effects [12, 13]. It may be observed that the ZPF results in the well known experimentally verified Casimir effect [14, 15]. We would also like to point out that contrary to popular belief, the concept of Ether has survived over the decades through the works of Dirac, Vigier, Prigogine, String Theoriests like Wilzeck and others [16]-[24]. It appears that even Einstein himself continued to believe in this concept [25].
We would first like to observe that the energy of the fluctuations in the background electromagnetic field could lead to the formation of elementary particles. Infact it is known that this energy of fluctuation in a region of length l is given by [26]

$$B^2 \sim \frac{\hbar c}{l^4}$$

In the above if l is taken to be the Compton wavelength of a typical elementary particle, then we recover its energy mc^2, as can be easily verified.

It may be mentioned that Einstein himself had believed that the electron was a result of such condensation from the background electromagnetic field (Cf.[27, 7, 8] for details). We also take the pion to represent a typical elementary particle, as in the literature.
To proceed, as there are $N \sim 10^{80}$ such particles in the universe, we get

$$Nm = M \tag{1}$$

where M is the mass of the universe.
In the following we will use N as the sole cosmological parameter.
Equating the gravitational potential energy of the pion in a three dimensional isotropic sphere of pions of radius R, the radius of the universe, with the rest energy of the pion, we can deduce the well known relation [28, 29]

$$R \approx \frac{GM}{c^2} \tag{2}$$

where M can be obtained from (1).
We now use the fact that given N particles, the fluctuation in the particle number is of the order $\sqrt{N}$[29, 30, 7, 8, 5, 6], while a typical time interval for the fluctuations is $\sim \hbar/mc^2$, the Compton time. We will come back to this point later. So we have

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\tau}$$

whence on integration we get,

$$T = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}\sqrt{N} \tag{3}$$

We can easily verify that equation (3) is indeed satisfied where T is the age of the universe. Next by differentiating (2) with respect to t we get

$$\frac{dR}{dt} \approx HR \tag{4}$$

where H in (4) can be identified with the Hubble Constant, and using (2) is given by,

$$H = \frac{Gm^3c}{\hbar^2} \tag{5}$$

Equation (1), (2) and (3) show that in this formulation, the correct mass, radius and age of the universe can be deduced given N as the sole cosmological or large scale parameter. Equation (5) can be written as

$$m \approx \left(\frac{H\hbar^2}{Gc}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{6}$$

Equation (6) has been empirically known as an "accidental" or "mysterious" relation. As observed by Weinberg[10], this is unexplained: it relates a single cosmological parameter H to constants from microphysics. We will touch upon this micro-macro nexus again. In our formulation, equation (6) is no longer a mysterious coincidence but rather a consequence.
As (5) and (4) are not exact equations but rather, order of magnitude relations, it follows that a small cosmological constant $\wedge$ is allowed such that

$$\wedge \leq 0(H^2)$$

This is consistent with observation and shows that $\wedge$ is very very small - this has been a puzzle, the so called cosmological constant problem [31]. But it is explained here.
To proceed we observe that because of the fluctuation of $\sim \sqrt{N}$ (due to the ZPF), there is an excess electrical potential energy of the electron, which infact we have identified as its inertial energy. That is [7, 29],

$$\sqrt{N}e^2/R \approx mc^2.$$

On using (2) in the above, we recover the well known Gravitation-electromagnetism ratio viz.,

$$e^2/Gm^2 \sim \sqrt{N} \approx 10^{40} \tag{7}$$

or without using (2), we get, instead, the well known so called Eddington formula,

$$R = \sqrt{N}l \tag{8}$$

Infact (8) is the spatial counterpart of (3). If we combine (8) and (2), we get,

$$\frac{Gm}{lc^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \propto T^{-1} \tag{9}$$

where in (9), we have used (3). Following Dirac (cf.also [32]) we treat G as the variable, rather than the quantities $m, l, c$and$\hbar$ (which we will call micro

physical constants) because of their central role in atomic (and sub atomic) physics.
Next if we use G from (9) in (5), we can see that

$$H = \frac{c}{l} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{10}$$

Thus apart from the fact that H has the same inverse time dependance on T as G, (10) shows that given the microphysical constants, and N, we can deduce the Hubble Constant also, as from (10) or (5).
Using (1) and (2), we can now deduce that

$$\rho \approx \frac{m}{l^3} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{11}$$

Next (8) and (3) give,

$$R = cT \tag{12}$$

(11) and (12) are consistent with observation.
Finally, we observe that using $M, G \text{and} H$ from the above, we get

$$M = \frac{c^3}{GH} \tag{13}$$

The relation (13) is required in the Friedman model of the expanding universe (and the Steady State model also).
The above model predicts an ever expanding and possibly accelerating universe whose density keeps decreasing. This seemed to go against the accepted idea that the density of the universe equalled the critical density required for closure.

3 Issues and Ramifications

i) The above cosmology exhibits a time variation of the gravitational constant of the form

$$G = \frac{\beta}{T} \tag{14}$$

Indeed this is true in a few other schemes also, including Dirac's cosmology (Cf. [33, 34, 27]). Interestingly it can be shown that such a time variation

can explain the precession of the perihelion of Mercury (Cf. [35]). It can also provide an alternative explanation for dark matter and the bending of light while the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation is also explained (Cf.[27]).
It is also possible to deduce the existence of gravitational waves given (14). To see this quickly let us consider the Poisson equation for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$\nabla^2 g_{\mu\nu} = G\rho u_\mu u_\nu \tag{15}$$

The solution of (15) is given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = G\int \frac{\rho u_\mu u_\nu}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} d^3\vec{r} \tag{16}$$

Indeed equations similar to (15) and (16) hold for the Newtonian gravitational potential also. If we use the second time derivative of G from (14) in (16), along with (15), we can immediately obtain the D'alembertian wave equation for gravitational waves, instead of the Poisson equation:

$$\Box g_{\mu\nu} \approx 0$$

ii) Recently a small variation with time of the fine structure constant has been detected and reconfirmed by Webb and coworkers [36, 37]. This observation is consistent with the above cosmology. We can see this as follows. We use an equation due to Kuhne [38]

$$\frac{\dot{\alpha}_z}{\alpha_z} = \alpha_z \frac{\dot{H}_z}{H_z}, \tag{17}$$

If we now use the fact that the cosmological constant Λ is given by

$$\Lambda \leq 0(H^2) \tag{18}$$

as can be seen from (4), in (17), we get using (18),

$$\frac{\dot{\alpha}_z}{\alpha_z} = \beta H_z \tag{19}$$

where $\beta < -\alpha_z < -10^{-2}$.
Equation (19) can be shown to be the same as

$$\frac{\dot{\alpha}_z}{\alpha_z} \approx -1 \times 10^{-5} H_z. \tag{20}$$

which is the same as Webb's result.
We give another derivation of (20) in the above context wherein, as the number of particles in the universe increases with time, we go from the Planck scale to the Compton scale.
This can be seen as follows: In equation (7), if the number of particles in the universe, $N = 1$, then the mass m would be the Planck mass. In this case the classical Schwarzschild radius of the Planck mass would equal its Quantum Mechanical Compton wavelength. To put it another way, all the energy would be gravitational (Cf.[27] for details). However as the number of particles N increases with time, according to (3), gravitation and electromagnetism get differentiated and we get (7) and the Compton scale.
It is known that the Compton length, due to zitterbewegung causes a correction to the electrostatic potential which an orbiting electron experiences, rather like the Darwin term [11].
Infact we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \delta V \rangle &= \langle V(\vec{r} + \delta\vec{r}) \rangle - V\langle (\vec{r}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \delta r_i \delta r_j \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} \rangle \\ &\approx 0(1) \delta r^2 \nabla^2 V \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Remembering that $V = e^2/r$ where $r \sim 10^{-8} cm$, from (21) it follows that if $\delta r \sim l$, the Compton wavelength then

$$\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} \sim 10^{-5} \tag{22}$$

where $\Delta\alpha$ is the change in the fine structure constant from the early universe. (22) is an equivalent form of (20) (Cf.ref.[38]), and is the result originally obtained by Webb et al (Cf.refs.[36, 37]).
iii) The latest observations of distant supernovae referred to above indicate that the closure parameter $\Omega \leq 1$.
Remembering that Ω is given by [39]

$$\Omega = \frac{8\pi G}{3H^2}\rho$$

we get therefrom on using (1)

$$\frac{H^2}{2G} R^3 = mN$$

which immediately leads to the mysterious Weinberg formula (6). Thus this is the balance between the cosmos at large and the micro cosmos.
iv) In General Relativity as well as in the Newtonian Theory, we have, without a cosmological constant

$$\ddot{R} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi G\rho R \tag{23}$$

We remember that there is an uncertainity in time to the extent of the Compton time τ, and also if we now use the fact that G varies with time, (23) becomes on using (14),

$$\ddot{R} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi G(t-\tau)\rho R$$

$$= -\frac{4}{3}\pi G\rho R + \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho R\left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right)G/t \tag{24}$$

Remembering that at any point of time, the age of the universe, that is t itself is given by (3), we can see from (24) that this effect of time variation of G, which again is due to the background Zero Point Field is the same as an additional density, the vacuum density given by

$$\rho_{vac} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{25}$$

This term in (24) is also equivalent to the presence of a cosmological constant Λ as discussed above. On the other hand, we know independently that the presence of a vacuum field leads to a cosmological constant given by (Cf.ref.[27] and references therein)

$$\Lambda = G\rho_{vac} \tag{26}$$

Equation (26) is pleasingly in agreement with (24) and (25) that is, the preceeding considerations. In other words quantitatively we have reconfirmed that it is the background Zero Point Field that manifests itself as the cosmological constant described in Section 2. This also gives as pointed out an explanation for the so called cosmological constant problem [31] viz., why is the cosmological constant so small?
v) In the above cosmology of fluctuations, our starting point was the creation

of $\sqrt{N}$ particles within the minimum time interval, a typical elementary particle Compton time τ. A rationale for this, very much in the spirit of the condensation of particles from a background Zero Point Field as discussed at the beginning of Section 2, has also been obtained recently in terms of a broken symmetry phase transition from the Zero Point Field or Quantum Vacuum. In this case, particles are like the Benard cells which form in fluids, as a result of a phase transition. While some of the particles or cells may revert to the Zero Point Field, on the whole there is a creation of these particles. If the average time for the creation of one of these particles or cells is τ, then at any point of time where there are N such particles, the time elapsed, in our case the age of the universe, would be given by (3) (Cf. [40]). While this is not exactly the Big Bang scenario, there is nevertheless a rapid creation of matter from the background Quantum Vacuum or Zero Point Field. Thus half the matter of the universe would have been created within a fraction of a second.
In any case when $\tau \to 0$, we recover the Big Bang scenario with a singular creation of matter, while when $\tau \to$ Planck time we recover the Prigogine Cosmology (Cf.[27] for details). However in neither of these two limits we can deduce all the above consistent with observation relations.
vi) The above cosmological model is related to the fact that there are minimum space time intervals l, τ. Indeed in this case it is known that there is an underlying non commutative geometry of spacetime [41, 42, 43] given by

$$[x, y] \approx 0(l^2), [x, p_x] = \imath\hbar[1 + \beta l^2], [t, E] = \imath\hbar[1 + \gamma\tau^2] \tag{27}$$

Interestingly (27) implies modification to the usual Uncertainity Principle, and this in turn can also be interpreted in terms of a variable speed of light cosmology [44, 45, 46].
The relations (27), lead to the modified Uncertainity relation

$$\Delta x \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar} \tag{28}$$

(28) appears also in Quantum SuperString Theory and is related to the well known Duality relation

$$R \to \alpha'/R$$

(Cf.[47, 48]). In any case (28) is symptomatic of the fact that we cannot go down to arbitrarily small space time intervals. We observe that the first term

of (28) gives the usual Uncertainity relation. In the second term, we write $\Delta p = \Delta N mc$, where ΔN is the Uncertainity in the number of particles, N, in the universe. Also $\Delta x = R$, the radius of the universe where

$$R \sim \sqrt{N} l,$$

the famous Eddington relationship. It should be stressed that the otherwise emperical Eddington formula, arises quite naturally in a Brownian characterisation of the universe as has been pointed out earlier (Cf. for example ref.[49]). Put simply (8) is the Random Walk equation
We now get,

$$\Delta N = \sqrt{N}$$

This is the uncertainity in the particle number, we used earlier. Substituting this in the time analogue of the second term of (28), we immediately get, T being the age of the universe,

$$T = \sqrt{N}\tau$$

which is equation (3). So, our cosmology is self consistent with the modified relation (28).
Interestingly these minimum space time considerations can be related to the Feynmann-Wheeler Instantaneous Action At a Distance formulation (Cf.[50, 51, 52]).
We finally remark that relations like (27) and (28), which can also be expressed in the form, a being the minimum length,

$$[x, p_x] = \imath\hbar[1 + \left(\frac{a}{\hbar}\right)^2 p^2]$$

(and can be considered to be truncated from a full series on the right hand side (Cf. [53]), could be deduced from the rather simple model of a fixed lattice - a one dimensional lattice for simplicity. In this case we will have (Cf.[27])

$$[x, p_x] = \imath\hbar cos\left(\frac{p}{\hbar}a\right),$$

where a is the lattice length, l the Compton length in our case. The energy time relation now leads to a correction to the mass energy formula, viz

$$E = mc^2 cos(kl), k \equiv p/\hbar$$

This is the contribution of the extra term in the Uncertainity Principle.
vii) It is well known that the Planck scale is an absolute minimum scale in the universe. In Section 3, ii) we argued that with the passage of time the Planck scale would evolve to the present day elementary particle Compton scale. This can also be seen in the following way: We have by definition

$$\hbar G/c^3 = l_P^2$$

where l_P is the Planck length $\sim 10^{-33} cms$. If we use (9) in the above we will get

$$l = N^{1/4} l_P \tag{29}$$

Similarly we have

$$\tau = N^{1/4} \tau_P \tag{30}$$

In (29) and (30) l and τ denote the typical elementary particle Compton length and time scale, and N is the number of such elementary particles in the universe. We could explain these equations in terms of the Benard cell like elementary particles referred to above. This time there are total of $n = \sqrt{N}$ Planck particles and (29) and (30) are the analogues of equations (3) and (8) in the context of the formation of such particles. Indeed it is well known that a Planck mass, $m_P \sim 10^{-5} gms$, has a Compton life time and also a Bekenstein Radiation life time of the order of the Planck time. These space time scales are much too small and we encounter much too large energies from the point of view of our observed limits. As noted above our observed scale is the Compton scale, in which Planck scale phenomena are moderated. In any case it can be seen from the above that as the number of particles N increases, the scale evolves from the Planck to the Compton scale. Interestingly another way to looking at the above is that the particles can be considered to be the fluctuational effect of the fluctuationally created $\sqrt{N}$ particles (Cf.[27]).
So, the scenario which emerges is, that as the universe evolves, Planck particles form the underpinning for elementary particles, which in turn form the underpinning for the universe by being formed continuously.
This can be confirmed by the following argument: We can rewrite (29) as

$$l = \nu' \sqrt{T} \tag{31}$$

$$\nu' = l_P/\sqrt{\tau} \approx \hbar/m_P$$

wherein we have used (3). Equation (31) is identical to the Nelsonian-Brownian Theory which is infact the underpinning for equations like (3) or (8), except that this time we have the same Brownian Theory operating from the Planck scale to the Compton scale, instead of from the Compton scale to the edge of the universe as seen above (Cf. also [49, 27]).
Interestingly, let us apply the above scenario of $\sqrt{n}$ Planck particles forming an elementary particle, to the extra term of the modified Uncertainity Principle (28), as we did earlier in section (iv). Remembering that $\alpha' = l_P^2$ in the theory, and $\Delta p = N^{1/4} m_P c$, in this case, we get, as $\Delta x = l$,

$$l = N^{1/4} l_P,$$

which will be recognized as (29) itself! Thus once again we see how the above cosmology is consistently tied up with the non commutative space time expressed by equations (27) or (28).
It may be mentioned that, as indeed can be seen from (29) and (30), in this model, the velocity of light remains constant.

References

[1] S. Perlmutter, et al, Nature, Vol.391, 1 January 1998, p.51-59.

[2] R.P. Kirshner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, Vol.96, April 1999, pp.4224-4227.

[3] G. Musser and M. Alpert, Scientific American, January 2000, pg.27.

[4] R.R. Caldwell and P.J. Steinhardt, Physics World, November 2000, pg.31.

[5] B.G. Sidharth, in "Frontiers of Quantum Physics", Eds., Lim, S.C., et al, Springer Verlag, Singapore, 1998.

[6] B.G. Sidharth, Proc. of the Eighth Marcell Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, Ed. T. Piran, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999, p.476-479.

[7] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J. of Mod.Phys.A 13(15), 1998, pp2599ff.

[8] B.G. Sidharth, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol.37, No.4, 1998, 1307-1312.

[9] J.V. Narlikar, "Introduction to Cosmology", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp.237ff.

[10] S. Weinberg, "Gravitation and Cosmology", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972, p.62.

[11] J.D. Bjorken, and S.D. Drell, "Relativistic Quantum Mechanics", McGraw Hill, New York, 1964, p.39.

[12] E. Santos, "Stochastic Electrodynamics and the Bell Inequalities" in "Open Questions in Quantum Physics", Ed. G. Tarozzi and A. van der Merwe, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1985, p.283-296.

[13] L. De Pena, "Stochastic Processes applied to Physics...", Ed., B Gomez, World Scientific, Singapore, 1983.

[14] V.M. Mostepanenko and N.N. Trunov, Sov.Phys.Usp. 31(11), November 1988, p.965-987.

[15] S.K. Lamoreauz, Phys.Rev.Lett., Vol.78, No.1, January 1997, p.5-8.

[16] N.C. Petroni and J.P. Vigier, Foundations of Physics, Vol.13, No.2, 1983, p.253-286.

[17] M.T. Raiford, Physics Today, July 1999, p.81.

[18] P.W. Milonni, Physica Scripta. Vol. T21, 1988, p.102-109.

[19] P.W. Milonni and M.L. Shih, Am.J.Phys., 59 (8), 1991, p.684-698.

[20] F. Wilczek, Physics Today, November 1999, p.11-13.

[21] F. Wilczek, Physics Today, January 1999, p.11-13.

[22] T.D. Lee, "Statistical Mechanics of Quarks and Hadrons", Ed. H. Satz, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981, p.3ff.

[23] V. Hushwater, Am.J.Phys. 65(5), May 1997, p.381–384.

[24] P.W. Milonni, "The Quantum Vacuum An Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics", Academic Press, New York, 1994.

[25] P. Achuthan et al, in "Gravitation, Quanta and the Universe", Ed. A.R. Prasanna, J.V. Narlikar, C.V. Vishveshwara, Wiley Eastern, New Delhi, 1980, p.300.

[26] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973, p.1190ff.

[27] B.G. Sidharth, "Chaotic Universe: From the Planck to the Hubble Scale", Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2001, p.20.

[28] L. Nottale, "Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics: Towards a Theory of Scale Relativity", World Scientific, Singapore, 1993, p.312.

[29] S. Hayakawa, Suppl of PTP Commemmorative Issue, 1965, 532-541.

[30] K. Huang, "Statistical Mechanics", Wiley Eastern, New Delhi 1975, pp.75ff.

[31] S. Weinberg, Phys.Rev.Lett, 43, 1979, p.1566.

[32] V.N. Melnikov, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 33 (7), 1994, 1569-1579.

[33] J.V. Narlikar, Foundations of Physics, Vol.13, No.3, 1983, p.311-323.

[34] J.D. Barrow and P. Parsons, Phys.Rev.D., Vol.55, No.4, 15 February 1997, p.1906ff.

[35] B.G. Sidharth, Il Nuovo Cimento, 115B (12), (2), 2000, pg.151.

[36] J.K. Webb, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 87 (9), 2001, pp.091301-1 ff.

[37] J.K. Webb, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 82, 1999, pp884ff.

[38] R.W. Kuhne, Mod.Phys.Lett.A, Vol.14, No.27, 1999, pp.1917-1922.

[39] C.H. Ohanian, and R. Ruffini, "Gravitation and Spacetime", New York, 1994, pp.130ff.

[40] B.G. Sidharth, "The Nature of Quantum Space Time", to appear in Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.

[41] B.G. Sidharth, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 13, 2002, p.1369-1370.

[42] B.G. Sidharth, Il Nuovo Cimento, 116B (6), 2001, pg.4 ff.

[43] B.G. Sidharth, Frontiers of Fundamental Physics 4, Plenum Publishers/Kluwer Academic, New York, 2001, p.97-107.

[44] S. Kalyana Rama, Phys.Lett. B, 519, 2001, p.103-110.

[45] J.W. Moffat, Int.J.Mod.Phys.,D 2, 1993, p.351, J.W. Moffat, Found.Phys. 23, 1993.

[46] J. Magueijo, Phys.Rev.D., 62, 2000, 103521.

[47] W. Witten, Physics Today, April 1996, pp.24-30.

[48] G. Veneziano in "The Geometric Universe", Ed. by S.A. Huggett, et al., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998, p.235ff.

[49] B.G. Sidharth, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 12, 2001, p.173-178.

[50] F. Hoyle and J.V. Narlikar, The Astrophysical Journal, 410, June 20 1993, p.437-457.

[51] B.G. Sidharth, in Instantaneous Action at a Distance in Modern Physics: "Pro and Contra" , Eds., A.E. Chubykalo et. al., Nova Science Publishing, New York, 1999.

[52] B.G Sidharth, "A Reconciliation of Electromagnetism and Gravitation", to appear in Annales de Fondation De Broglie, in press.

[53] Y. Ne'eman, in Proceedings of the First Internatioinal Symposium, "Frontiers of Fundmental Physics", Eds. B.G. Sidharth and A. Burinskii, Universities Press, Hyderabad, 1999, pp.83ff.